

The 23rd March, 1983

No. ID/FD/48-83/13801.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana, is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Kaulesawar and the Management of M/s Joy B. Industries, Plot No. 162, Sector 24, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana, hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Kauleshawar was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

No. ID/FD/24/83/13808.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Babu Lal Sharma and the management of M/s. Anand Synthetics Pvt. Ltd., 22 K. M. Mathura Road, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—*vide* Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matters specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Babu Lal Sharma was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

No. ID/FD/52-83/13815.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Ganpat Ram and the management of M/s Manju Plastics, Plot No. Ik/38A N.I.T., Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing ;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Ganpat Ram was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

No. ID/FD/83-83/13822.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Phool Chand and the management of M/s Faridabad Spinning and Woollen Mills, Plot No. 347-48, Sector 24, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing ;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Phool Chand was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?